	Case 2:21-cv-01172-KJM-CKD Docume	nt 79 F	Filed 02/29/24	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	Senarble Campbell,	No.	2:21-cv-01172-	KJM-CKD
12	Plaintiff,			
13	V.	ORE	<u>DER</u>	
14	Rainelle Smith, et al.,			
15	Defendants.			
16		_		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief			
18	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided			
19	by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.			
20	On December 29, 2023, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which			
21	were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the			
22	findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Neither party has filed			
23	objections to the findings and recommendations.			
24	Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the findings and			
25	recommendations was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to			
26	keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service			
27	of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.			
28	/////	1		
		1		

Case 2:21-cv-01172-KJM-CKD Document 79 Filed 02/29/24 Page 2 of 2

The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court "). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The findings and recommendations filed December 29, 2023, are adopted in full.
- 2. This action is dismissed with prejudice based on plaintiff's failure to prosecute and to comply with court orders.
 - 3. Defendants' motion for summary judgment (ECF No. 73) is denied as moot.
 - 4. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.

DATED: February 28, 2024.

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE